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The National Asian American Survey (NAAS) conducted the first nationally representative survey of the policy priorities and issue preferences of Asian Americans in 2008. In 2012, we conducted a tracking survey of Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders, with even more ethnic groups than in 2008 (Hmong and Cambodian, in addition to Chinese, Indian, Filipino, Vietnamese, Korean, and Japanese) and with the addition of Pacific Islander groups such as Native Hawaiians and Samoans. This report presents the results of 4,755 interviews conducted between July 30, 2012 and October 21, 2012.

The Affordable Care Act is particularly relevant to Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders, as nearly 1 in 7 residents lacked health insurance prior to the implementation of the Affordable Care Act. These rates are comparable to uninsured rates among non-Hispanic whites (13%).

While there have been consistent surveys of opinion on the Affordable Care Act among blacks and Latinos—showing significant support for the law—the 2012 National Asian American Survey is the only recent effort to gauge support for the ACA among Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders.

The NAAS data on public opinion among Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders about the Affordable Care Act reveal that:

- A majority of Asian Americans support the Affordable Care Act, nearly three times those who oppose the law (page 2).
- The net level of support for the Affordable Care Act among Asian Americans is comparable to those found among Latinos and African Americans in other surveys conducted at around the same time, between August and October 2012 (page 2).
- Among national origin groups, support for the Affordable Care Act is highest among Vietnamese Americans and Korean Americans. (page 3)
- For Asians in general, support does not diminish when the law is referred to as Obamacare instead of the Affordable Care Act (page 2). However, for Vietnamese in particular, support is lower when the law is referred to as Obamacare, while for Indians, support is significantly higher. These differences are in line with presidential approval ratings among these two groups. (pages 3-4)
- Support for the Affordable Care Act is decidedly more mixed for Native Hawaiians and Pacific Islanders. (page 2)

---


2 Ibid.
AAPI OPINION ON THE AFFORDABLE CARE ACT / OBAMACARE

A key aspect to the issue of health care is public opinion on the Affordable Care Act (ACA), sometimes referred to as Obamacare. Surveys of the general population have shown that about 38% to 40% of Americans have a favorable impression of the law, and a greater proportion (43% to 44%) have an unfavorable impression. Support has varied significantly across racial and ethnic groups, with significant opposition among non-Hispanic whites (with an average of 36% favorable and 50% favorable during the time of our 2012 survey), to majority support among Latinos (averaging 51% favorable and 29% unfavorable), to very strong support among African Americans (averaging 62% favorable, 17% unfavorable). How do Asian Americans compare?

The 2012 NAAS asked “As you may know, Congress passed a sweeping health care law, [the Affordable Care Act / which some refer to as Obamacare], in 2010. It was then mostly upheld by the U.S. Supreme Court. Given what you know about the law, do you have a generally favorable or generally unfavorable opinion of it?”

Figure 1. Asian American and Pacific Islander Opinion on Health Care Reform

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Favorable</th>
<th>Unfavorable</th>
<th>Neither</th>
<th>Don't Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Asian Americans</strong></td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACA</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obamacare</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pacific Islanders</strong></td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Figures may not total 100% due to rounding
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4 Ibid.
As the results indicate (Figure 1), Asian Americans are much more favorably inclined towards the law than opposed to it. About 50% had a favorable impression of the health care law, more than three times the proportion of those who had an unfavorable opinion of it. These indicate a level of support for the Affordable Care Act that are comparable to support among Latinos and African Americans, and in strong contrast to the net opposition to the Affordable Care Act among whites. Importantly, calling the law the Affordable Care Act or Obamacare did not significantly affect the level of support for health care reform.\(^5\)

Pacific Islanders, by contrast, had lower support for the law, although more still had a favorable opinion of the law rather than unfavorable. In addition, calling the law Obamacare led both support and opposition, although these differences by question wording are still within the margin of error for NHPIs in the survey.\(^6\)

There are some important differences to note across ethnic groups (Figure 2). Support for the Affordable Care Act was highest among Vietnamese and Korean Americans (61% and 59%, respectively) and lowest among Filipinos and Cambodians (38% and 24%, respectively). This is in line with our findings from 2008, where support for universal health care was highest among Vietnamese Americans and Korean Americans. Among Hmong and Cambodian Americans, large portions of the population did not know what opinion to express with respect to the law (35% and 57%, respectively). For no group is there a net opposition to the Affordable Care Act.

---

**Figure 2. Asian American on Health Care Reform, By Ethnic Group**

\(a\). AFFORDABLE CARE ACT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ethnic Group</th>
<th>Favorable</th>
<th>Unfavorable</th>
<th>Neither</th>
<th>Don't Know</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cambodian</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>57%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chinese</td>
<td>54%</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filipino</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hmong</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>35%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian</td>
<td>51%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>12%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korean</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnamese</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^5\) With a split sample, the margin of error is +/- 2% for Asian Americans and +/- 6% for NHPIs

\(^6\) With a split sample, the margin of error is +/- 2% for Asian Americans and +/- 6% for NHPIs
Interestingly, when the law is referred to as Obamacare (Figure 2b), support drops significantly among Vietnamese (from 61% to 43%). However, support is higher among Indian Americans when the law is referred to as Obamacare (increasing from 51% to 69%). Differences in question wording for all other groups are within the survey’s margin of error.

The differing patterns are most likely explained by the fact that Indians in our survey expressed the highest job approval of President Obama (79%) while Vietnamese expressed among the lowest levels of support (57%). It is important to note, however, that Filipinos expressed the lowest Presidential job approval of any group (49%), and we do not see a comparable difference in opinion based on whether the law is described as the Affordable Care Act or Obamacare.
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